

Headquarters U.S. Air Force

Integrity - Service - Excellence

AF Architecting CONOPS, Governance, and Federation

**Lt Col Jerry Huffman
Mr. Dennis Dempsey
SAF/A6PA
28 April 2010**

**Year of the Air Force
Family**






- **USAF Architecture CONOPS and Architecture Governance Process**
- **Architecture Federation**



Warfighter Integration What's in Play





Architecting 'The Concept'



Problem Statement:

AF leaders make complex decisions on a daily basis affecting the AF Enterprise. However, the second and third order effects of those decisions often impact seemingly disparate portions of the enterprise in fundamental ways (good or bad) that were unforeseen by the decision-maker simply because the relationship data was not available when the decision was made. Architecture can eliminate some of the 'fog' of decision-making by defining these relationships in a format the decision-maker can easily understand.

Vision:

As leaders of architecture in the Air Force, we deliver timely, relevant, unambiguous information to support informed decision-making by Air Force leaders to maximize military capabilities while optimizing allocation of resources.

End State:

The AF architecture capability is integrated into AF decision-making processes



Air Force Architecting Concept of Operations



Version 1.0
December 2009

BOBBY W. SMART, SES
Director, Policy and Resources
Office of Warfighting Integration and
Chief Information Officer



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
WASHINGTON, DC

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

2 December 2009

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

FROM: SAF/XCPA
1800 Air Force Pentagon
Washington DC 20330-1800

SUBJECT: Air Force Architecting Concept of Operations

As the Chief Architect of the Air Force I approve the Air Force Architecting Concept of Operations for immediate use by all Air Force architecting organizations.

My point of contact is Colonel David Getting, david.getting@pentagon.af.mil.

BOBBY W. SMART, SES
Director, Policy and Resources
Office of Warfighting Integration and
Chief Information Officer

[Follow this link to explore on the XC Portal Page](#)



Architecting 'Critical Capabilities'




Effective Decision-Support Capability

- Requirements driven
- Customer focused
- Clear scope and purpose


Functioning Governance Capability

- Reliable Processes
- Fit-for-purpose
- Fit-for-federation





**Architectures assessed as “fit for purpose” and “compliant”
to ensure decisions stick and adherence to standards**




“Fit for purpose” assessment ensures the quality of data in the architecture supports the decision making process and is good enough to guide the transformation effort.

Compliance assessment ensures that the architectures are complete and adhere to the rules of related architectures and the rules of developing architectures.




Want to avoid





Certification Process (Level 2)






■ AF Compliance Report

- Reports on the architecture compliance assessment
- Contains detailed assessment of the subject's current degree of compliance and the required actions to achieve full compliance in cases where full compliance has not yet been reached

■ AF Compliance Reports should be

- Reviewed
- Approved
- Retained, and
- Made accessible (e.g., web-posted)
- Controlled by the Air Force Architecture Certification Authority




■ AF Architecture Compliance Plans

- Condition to achieve full certification in the event of non-compliance; will include:
 - Detailed assessment of the current degree of compliance
 - Key requirements and estimated deadline to achieve compliance
 - Actions needed to achieve compliance and identification of the associated risks and dependencies



Architecture Federation





AF Architecture Federation Goals



- **Improve interoperation with**
 - **Joint operations**
 - **DoD operations**
 - **AF operations**
- **Improve investment decisions / resource allocation**
- **Develop mission-focused architectures with meaningful relationships (interdependencies)**
- **Support**
 - **process improvement**
 - **service orientation, and**
 - **information transparency**





- Operational interdependency focused models are a sound foundation for federating
 - Operational interdependency focus is based on an “I need/we provide” relationship pairing – i.e. a service focus (process, data, human, ...)
 - Operational interdependency focus yields healthy discussion on interdependent touch-points that are performed for the MISSION

So what? Agreement on the interface!

So all I have to do is call you?



Yep, just call this number when you need fuel and bombs loaded!

Right and you just call this number when you need fuel!




I need Plane loaded and fueled

We deliver fuel and bombs






Making the Connections: Delivering Capability thru the Seams





Air Force Architectures

(How should they relate in general?)






Fit for Federation Framework (e.g.)




AF Architecture Descriptions



Minimize, generalize, and standardized!



Fit for Federation criteria



General criteria to be applied to architecture efforts

- ✓ Purpose has been documented and verified by users and usages
- ✓ Input has been verified as from authoritative source and authoritative source recorded
- ✓ **Supported architecture interface points and associated standards identified, documented and verified**
- ✓ **Supporting architecture interface points identified, documented and negotiated with provider**
- ✓ **Specific architecture Standards (Compliance Criteria) established, documented, and verified**
- ✓ Output has been verified as fit for use



Roles in Federation: Who is responsible for what?



- **Architecting organizations**
 - **Create new Architectures that are Fit For Federation (aka Federate-able) out the box**
 - **Augment existing architectures to be Fit For Federation**
 - **Get architectures approved**
 - **Get architectures certified**
- **SAF/A6PA**
 - **It is SAF/A6PA's role to "Federate" the AF**
 - **SAF/A6PA will federate any architecture that is formally certified**



- **Lower cost of integration and interoperability**

- **Improved efficiencies and operational effectiveness**
 - **Reduced redundancy and improved scalability**
 - **Process improvement, service orientation, and information transparency**

- **An Interoperable Air Force**
 - **With other services and coalition partners**
 - **Defined by operational interdependencies**
 - **Overall picture of the Air Force**



Institutionalizing Fit For Federation



- **Fit For Federation will be embedded in**
 - **Air Force Policy Document – AFPD 33-10**
 - **Air Force Instruction –AFI 33-401 or substitute**
 - **Air Force Manual (s) – AFMAM XX-XXX (TBD)**
 - **Air Force Guidance – AF Architecture Framework**
- **Current state constitutes minor updates**
 - **Air Force Instruction – AFI 33-401 (Interim Change 1)**



Questions?